Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/910,775	LEE, DAE-HUN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jamal A Fox	2664
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>30</u>	
(1) <u>Jamal A Fox</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Deborah Kimberlin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>10:25</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
, Claims discussed:		
		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
		•
•		
Sterne (1. fo)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I, Jamal A. Fox, tried to contact Daniel Kim to get authorization to amend the Abstract. Deborah Kimberlin, Daniels' Secretary, informed me that Daniel Kim was out of town. She also indicated that there wasn't anybody else there to provide me with the authorization.